


Northbridge Community School

Prioritised review report



URGENT REVIEW

Group photograph retains location metadata

Synthetic illustration - no real pupil data

SYNTHETIC SAMPLE: fictional school, reserved .invalid domains and no real pupil data.

| | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Report ID | RGD-SAMPLE-001 |
| Review scope | 4 public pages / 8 image records |
| Report date | 3 July 2026 |
| Service tier | Full audit - synthetic demonstration |

Prepared for authorised school decision-makers. This report prioritises human review; it does not make legal, safeguarding or compliance determinations.

Executive summary

A concise view of what was reviewed, what deserves attention first and what should happen next.

| | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4 pages reviewed | 8 image records | 2 urgent items | 5 review priorities |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|

Recommended first action: remove or replace the GPS-bearing group photograph while the school confirms its current publication decision.

Overall assessment

The synthetic review found two items requiring prompt authorised attention, one high-priority archive issue, one medium-priority group-image review and one lower-priority contextual check. No result is treated as proof of a breach. The purpose is to reduce the time needed for school staff to locate and assess public content that combines several risk-relevant signals.

| Priority | Item | Main signal combination | Recommended disposition |
|----------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| P1 | GPS metadata in group image | GPS + high resolution + older page | Replace file / confirm necessity |
| P1 | Pupil portrait beside name | Prominent individual + identifying copy | Remove name or image / evidence decision |
| P2 | 2019 residential archive | Old + duplicated + pupil context | Unpublish, restrict or justify |
| P3 | Sports-day crowd image | Large group + high resolution | Review frame and resize |
| P4 | Artwork display labels | Pupil context + possible labels | Inspect original and crop labels |

Immediate priorities

- Remove public GPS metadata by replacing the original file with a clean export; do not rely on the website viewer to hide metadata.
- Review the individual portrait and adjacent name together, because changing only one may not address the combined exposure.
- Decide whether the 2019 residential archive still needs to remain publicly accessible and remove duplicate copies.

Scope, method and boundaries

What the report covers and how authorised staff should interpret it.

Authorised scope

- Fictional domain: northbridge-school.invalid and its synthetic CDN subdomain.
- Four public webpage records and eight synthetic image records.
- No authentication, access-control bypass, facial recognition, identity matching or biometric template creation.
- No real image files were downloaded, retained or included in this sample.

How prioritisation works


| Level | Meaning | Expected response |
|-------------|--|--|
| P1 - urgent | Multiple strong signals or an exposure requiring prompt review | Review promptly, contain obvious unnecessary exposure and document the decision. |
| P2 - high | Material combination of age, duplication, context or discoverability | Review in the current remediation cycle and set a named owner. |
| P3 - medium | Contextual or technical indicators that warrant proportionate checking | Review when completing the related page or gallery check. |
| P4 - low | A limited signal or context-only prompt | Check during normal content governance; no automatic removal is implied. |

Important limitations

- A priority is a prompt for authorised human judgement, not a conclusion that publication is unlawful or unsafe.
- The report cannot know the school's consent records, safeguarding context, publication purpose or internal decisions unless those are supplied.
- An item not prioritised is not certified safe. Website content should still be managed through the school's ordinary review process.
- This customer sample demonstrates the intended report format. The current technical alpha remains synthetic-only and is not a live scanning service.

Detailed findings

Each finding explains the signal combination, the practical question for the school and a proportionate next action.



URGENT REVIEW

Group photograph retains location metadata

Synthetic illustration - no real pupil data

P1 - urgent review Location metadata in a prominent group photograph

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Finding ID | F-001 |
| Public page | https://northbridge-school.invalid/ |
| Image location | https://cdn.northbridge-school.invalid/hero.jpg |
| Signals | GPS metadata, high resolution, group image, older page |

Why this deserves review

The synthetic record combines embedded GPS metadata, a high-resolution group photograph, pupil context and an older publication date. Together these signals justify immediate authorised review.

Recommended action


Replace the public file with a metadata-stripped export, confirm that continued publication is necessary, and record the decision. Remove or restrict the item if the publication purpose is no longer current.

Decision prompts for the authorised reviewer

- Is location metadata still present in the original public file?
- Does the image still serve a current, documented publication purpose?
- Can the same communication objective be met with a cleaned or less revealing image?

Suggested status: Open - school decision required. **Evidence handling:** Retain the report reference and decision record; do not copy or redistribute pupil imagery unnecessarily.

Detailed finding 2 of 5



URGENT REVIEW

Individual pupil image appears beside a name

Synthetic illustration - no real pupil data

P1 - urgent review Individual pupil image next to a name and year group

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Finding ID | F-002 |
| Public page | https://northbridge-school.invalid/news/sports-day |
| Image location | https://cdn.northbridge-school.invalid/runner.jpg |
| Signals | prominent individual, possible name nearby, pupil context, high resolution |

Why this deserves review

An individual portrait is prominent and nearby copy appears to identify the pupil. The combination increases discoverability and deserves a prompt necessity, consent and current-purpose check.

Recommended action

Review the image and adjacent text together. Consider removing the name, replacing the image, or limiting publication. Confirm the school can evidence the current publishing decision.

Decision prompts for the authorised reviewer

- Is identifying the pupil necessary for the current purpose?
- Are the image and adjacent text covered by the school's current decision records?
- Would removing either the name or image materially reduce exposure without undermining the story?

Suggested status: Open - school decision required. **Evidence handling:** Retain the report reference and decision record; do not copy or redistribute pupil imagery unnecessarily.

Detailed finding 3 of 5

HIGH REVIEW

Old residential images remain in a public archive

Synthetic illustration - no real pupil data

2019 ARCHIVE

P2 - high review **2019 residential photographs remain duplicated in the archive**

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Finding ID | F-003 |
| Public page | https://northbridge-school.invalid/archive/2019-residential |
| Image location | https://cdn.northbridge-school.invalid/trip-a.jpg |
| Signals | stale page, duplicate exposure, group image, pupil context |

Why this deserves review

Two near-duplicate pupil photographs remain publicly accessible on an old archive page. Age, duplication and pupil context indicate that the continued publication purpose should be checked.

Recommended action


Decide whether the archive still needs to be public. Remove duplicate files, unpublish the page, restrict access, or document why continued availability remains proportionate.

Decision prompts for the authorised reviewer

- Why must the 2019 page remain public rather than held internally?
- Are duplicated image files increasing discoverability or retention unnecessarily?
- Has the archive been reviewed against the school’s current retention and publishing practice?

Suggested status: Open - school decision required. **Evidence handling:** Retain the report reference and decision record; do not copy or redistribute pupil imagery unnecessarily.

Detailed finding 4 of 5



HIGH RESOLUTION

MEDIUM REVIEW

Large sports-day group image deserves context

Synthetic illustration - no real pupil data

P3 - medium review **High-resolution sports-day crowd image**

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Finding ID | F-004 |
| Public page | https://northbridge-school.invalid/news/sports-day |
| Image location | https://cdn.northbridge-school.invalid/crowd.jpg |
| Signals | group image, high resolution, pupil context |

Why this deserves review

The image contains a large pupil group and is published at substantially higher resolution than is normally needed for a webpage. No single signal proves a problem, but the combined context merits review.

Recommended action

Review the full frame for background details, confirm the publication basis, and replace it with a suitably resized copy where the original resolution is unnecessary.

Decision prompts for the authorised reviewer

- Does the full frame contain name badges, spectators, signage or other background detail?
- Is the original resolution needed for normal webpage display?
- Would a cropped and resized replacement meet the same purpose?

Suggested status: Open - school decision required. **Evidence handling:** Retain the report reference and decision record; do not copy or redistribute pupil imagery unnecessarily.

Detailed finding 5 of 5



LOW REVIEW

Display labels may reveal unnecessary pupil details

Synthetic illustration - no real pupil data

P4 - low review Pupil artwork display may contain unnecessary labels

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Finding ID | F-005 |
| Public page | https://northbridge-school.invalid/gallery/art |
| Image location | https://cdn.northbridge-school.invalid/art-display.jpg |
| Signals | pupil context, possible display labels |

Why this deserves review

No face signal is present. The page context indicates pupil work, so an authorised reviewer should confirm that visible labels do not include full names or other unnecessary personal details.

Recommended action

Inspect the original image at full size. Crop, blur or replace any labels that disclose more information than needed while retaining the value of showcasing pupil work.

Decision prompts for the authorised reviewer

- Do labels show full names, classes, dates or other unnecessary details?
- Can the display be showcased using a crop or lower-detail replacement?
- Has the original image been checked at full resolution rather than only as a thumbnail?

Suggested status: Open - school decision required. **Evidence handling:** Retain the report reference and decision record; do not copy or redistribute pupil imagery unnecessarily.

Prioritised remediation plan

A practical action schedule that can be copied into the school's normal website or safeguarding workflow.

| Ref | Action | Priority | Suggested owner | Target | Status |
|-------|--|----------|---------------------|-------------------|--------|
| F-001 | Replace GPS-bearing image and record the publication decision | P1 | Website owner + DSL | 2 working days | Open |
| F-002 | Review portrait and identifying text as one exposure | P1 | DSL + content owner | 2 working days | Open |
| F-003 | Review or remove the 2019 residential archive and duplicate images | P2 | Website owner | 10 working days | Open |
| F-004 | Inspect frame, confirm basis and publish a resized replacement | P3 | Content owner | 20 working days | Open |
| F-005 | Inspect artwork labels and crop or replace where needed | P4 | Content owner | Next review cycle | Open |

Completion evidence

- Record the decision, responsible person and completion date against each item.
- Where content is retained, document the current purpose and why the remaining exposure is proportionate.
- Where an image is replaced, verify the old file URL and cached page no longer expose the superseded version where reasonably controllable.
- Request the included recheck within 30 days after remediation.

A clean recheck does not certify the whole website as compliant or safe; it confirms only the agreed items and scope at the time of the recheck.

Context, good practice and next steps

The report should help schools act proportionately, not remove every image by default.

Lower-concern observations

- The synthetic school logo contained no pupil context and triggered no configured review indicators.
- A building photograph was technically high resolution but did not contain pupil context. It was not elevated above low review.
- Priorities were driven by combinations of signals rather than the mere presence of an image.

Recommended governance improvements

- Use a pre-publication checklist covering names, background details, metadata, image resolution and publication duration.
- Set an archive review date when news and gallery content is published.
- Export web copies without embedded location metadata and at an appropriate display resolution.
- Maintain a simple decision record where a pupil image remains public beyond its original event or campaign.

What the paid service includes

Full authorised audit

- Up to 500 public pages and 5,000 image records
- Prioritised findings with source locations and actions
- Executive summary and editable remediation tracker
- One clarification round and one recheck within 30 days

Standard price

£295

Founding pilot: £195

Indicator glossary

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| GPS metadata | Location coordinates or related location fields recorded in the synthetic image metadata. |
| Prominent individual | A synthetic size signal indicating one face occupies a material proportion of the frame; it does not identify the person. |
| Possible name nearby | Text-pattern prompt indicating that nearby copy may contain a person's name. Human review is required. |
| Pupil context | Nearby copy or page context suggests the image relates to pupils or school activities. |
| Stale page | The publication date is materially older than the current review date. |
| Duplicate exposure | The same or similar synthetic image record appears in more than one public location or file. |
| High resolution | The public file dimensions are substantially larger than typical webpage display needs. |

Report boundary: Regardful does not identify children, match faces, infer sensitive characteristics, create biometric templates or provide automated legal/safeguarding verdicts. Decisions remain with the school's authorised staff.